

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.P.BALACHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 13TH MARCH 2007 / 22ND PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 5478 of 2007(B)

OS.460,450,519/2002 of MUNSIF COURT, VAIKOM

OS.360/2005 of MUNSIF COURT, VAIKOM
.....

PETITIONER:

P.R.UNNIKRISHNA WARRIER,
AGED 38 YEARS, S/O.PARVATHY MADHAVI WARRISSIAR,
PADINJATTUMCHERI THEKKEMURIYIL, PERUTHRIKKOVIL
WARRIAM, VAIKOM.

BY ADV. SMT.DIJI.K.DASAN

RESPONDENTS:

1. NARASIMHA KAMMATHI,
NAKKARAMADAM, VAIKOM.
2. R.RAJESH, PUTHIYAMADAM, VAIKOM.
3. JOY, PERUMPILLIL VEEDU, VAIKOM.
4. MOLLY, PERUMPILLIL VEEDU, VAIKOM.
5. VIJAYALAKSHMI BHAI,
ASARIPARAMBU MADOM, VAIKOM.
6. PANKAJASHI PILLAI, NANTHIATTU VEEDU,
VAIKOM.

BY

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 13/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

K.P.BALACHANDRAN, J.

W.P.(C)NO.5478 OF 2007

Dated this the 13th day of March 2007

JUDGMENT

There is no representation for the petitioner probably because the petitioner got the relief that he wanted vide interim orders of this court. This writ petition in the circumstances is dismissed.

On a perusal of the writ petition and the report received from the Munsiff concerned, it appears prima facie that impertinence looms large in the actions of the Munsiff. Place the matter before the honourable judge in charge of the district for appropriate action, if felt necessary.

K.P.BALACHANDRAN, JUDGE

jes